UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/685,374 | 10/14/2003 | Craig Bonsignore | CRD-5054 | 4420 |
| 27777 PHILIP S. JOH | 7590 08/16/200 NSON | EXAMINER | | |
| JOHNSON & J | OHNSON N & JOHNSON PLAZ | YABUT, DIANE D | | |
| | WICK, NJ 08933-7003 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3734 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|--------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| Before | the | Filing | of an | Appeal | Brief | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/685,374 | BONSIGNORE, CRAIG | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Diane Yabut | 3734 | | |

| | Diane Yabut | 3734 | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | | FIRST REPLT WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | huit princts the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | 0001100 | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment(s) They raise new issues that would require further continuous the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment filed after a f | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amondment | (DTOL 224) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | impliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | will not be entered, or b) wivided below or appended. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | • | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | • | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments for Claims 1 regarding the first and second stent anchors being positioned so as to remain completely within the main trunk and the graft extension being permanently attached to the main trunk require further consideration and/or search.

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER